

HAGENS BERMAN SOBOL SHAPIRO LLP
 STEVE W. BERMAN (Pro Hac Vice)
 THOMAS E. LOESER (202724)
 1918 Eighth Avenue, Suite 330
 Seattle, WA 98101
 Tel: 206/623-7292
 206/623-0594 (fax)
 steve@hbsslaw.com
 toml@hbsslaw.com

BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP
 TIMOTHY G. BLOOD (149343)
 THOMAS J. O'REARDON II (247952)
 PAULA R. BROWN (254142)
 701 B Street, Suite 1700
 San Diego, CA 92101
 Tel: (619) 338-1100
 619/338-1101 (fax)
 tblood@bholaw.com
 toreardon@bholaw.com
 pbrown@bholaw.com

LAW OFFICE OF PETER FREDMAN PC
 PETER B. FREDMAN (189097)
 125 University Ave., Suite 102
 Berkeley, CA 94710
 Tel: 510/868-2626
 510/868-2627 (fax)
 peter@peterfredmanlaw.com

Class Counsel

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA - SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

PHILLIP R. CORVELLO, On Behalf of
 Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

WELLS FARGO BANK N.A. d/b/a
 WELLS FARGO HOME MORTGAGE
 d/b/a AMERICA'S SERVICING
 COMPANY,

Defendant.

Case No. 4:10-CV-05072-VC

**DECLARATION OF TIMOTHY G.
 BLOOD IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR
 FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION
 SETTLEMENT**

CLASS ACTION

Date: November 30, 2017
 Time: 10:00 a.m.
 Judge: Hon. Vince Chhabria
 Courtroom: 4, 17th Floor

Date Filed: November 9, 2010

AMIRA JACKMON, individually, and on
 behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

v.

AMERICA'S SERVICING COMPANY
 and WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:11-cv-03884-VC

Date Filed: August 8, 2011

1 I, TIMOTHY G. BLOOD, declare as follows:

2 1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all courts of the State of
3 California and am admitted to practice in this Court. I am the managing partner at Blood Hurst
4 & O'Reardon, LLP, one of the Class Counsel in *Phillip Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.*,
5 No. 10-cv-05072-VC ("Corvello Action") and *Amira Jackmon v. America's Servicing*
6 *Company, et al.*, 11-cv-03884-VC ("Jackmon Action") (together, the "Action" or
7 "Litigation"). I have personal knowledge of the matters stated herein and, if called upon, I
8 could and would competently testify thereto. I submit this declaration in support of Plaintiffs'
9 motion for final approval of class action settlement, and for an award of attorneys' fees,
10 expenses, and named plaintiff service awards.

11 2. My previous declaration submitted in connection with the motion for
12 preliminary approval described the lengthy history of this hard fought Litigation. Rather than
13 repeat it here, I incorporate that discussion by reference. (*Corvello*, ECF No. 214-1).

14 3. Throughout this Litigation, my firm has received many inquiries from Class
15 Members. We received these inquiries in response to news articles about the Litigation, in
16 response to the notice of pendency approved by the Court following class certification, and in
17 response to the Class Notice disseminated by the independent Settlement Administrator. My
18 office has spent substantial time responding to these Class Member inquiries and we will
19 continue to do so.

20 4. My firm prosecuted this Litigation on a contingent-fee basis with no guarantee
21 of recovery. My firm, along with Co-Class Counsel, incurred 100% of the risk in pursuing this
22 Litigation. My firm advanced expenses with the understanding that we would be paid a fee and
23 receive reimbursement for expenses only if successful.

24 5. The work performed by my firm and by our co-counsel is detailed in my
25 declaration submitted in connection with preliminary approval filed June 30, 2017. (*Corvello*,
26 ECF No. 214-1). The services rendered and work performed by my firm's attorneys,
27 paralegals, and other professionals and paraprofessionals covered every aspect of the
28 Litigation. The work performed includes pre-filing research and investigation into the factual

1 and legal claims, drafting the complaints, successfully appealing the order granting the motion
 2 to dismiss *Corvello* before the Ninth Circuit, participating in substantial fact and expert
 3 discovery, briefing a successful motion for class certification, preparing for trial, negotiating
 4 the settlement, preparing settlement documentation, vetting the Settlement Administrator, and
 5 assisting with claims administration and Class Member inquiries. I believe the time expended
 6 by my firm in this Litigation was reasonable and necessary in light of the amount of work
 7 accomplished.

8 6. The following information regarding my firm's time and out-of-pocket
 9 expenses is taken from time and expense records prepared and maintained by the firm in the
 10 ordinary course of business. The time records were prepared daily or shortly thereafter by each
 11 attorney or paralegal working on the Litigation. The expense records are prepared from
 12 receipts, expense vouchers, check records and other documents, and are an accurate record of
 13 the expenses. I reviewed the printouts and also reviewed the backup documentation where
 14 necessary. The purpose of these reviews was to confirm the accuracy of the entries on the
 15 printouts as well as the reasonableness of the time and expenses committed to the Litigation.

16 7. The schedule below provides a summary of the hours expended by timekeepers
 17 from my firm who performed work in this Litigation. The schedule includes the name of each
 18 person who worked on the case, hourly billing rates, the number of hours expended, and the
 19 resulting lodestar for each timekeeper. The backgrounds and qualifications of the attorneys
 20 who worked on the matter are set forth in the Firm Resume, attached as **Exhibit 1** to this
 21 declaration. In addition to those individuals identified in Exhibit 1, Sara R. Boot, Colette N.
 22 Menaldino, and Elle Chaseton also contributed to this Litigation during the Class Claims
 23 Period (defined in paragraph 9 below). Sara Boot was an associate at BHO. Ms. Boot earned
 24 her J.D. from the University Of Michigan School of Law in 2006, and prior to joining BHO,
 25 was an associate at Cooley LLP and an Assistant United States Attorney in the Southern
 26 District of California. Elle Chaseton is a senior paralegal at BHO. Ms. Chaseton has over
 27 twenty years of experience as a litigation paralegal, including spending 14 years at the class
 28 action firm Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP prior to joining BHO in 2015. She earned

her Paralegal Certificate from the University of San Diego, Master's degree in Paralegal Studies from the George Washington University, has an advanced certified paralegal certificate with a specialty in discovery, and a bachelor's degree from the University of Nevada Las Vegas. Colette Menaldino earned her B.A. and J.D. from the University of Pittsburgh. She was admitted to the State Bar of Pennsylvania in November 2013, and the State Bar of California in August 2015. Ms. Menaldino was a project attorney at BHO, and is now an associate at a law firm practicing individual and class action litigation.

8. The lodestar calculation is based on the firm's current billing rates, including for attorneys and employees no longer employed by the firm, at the firm's customary hourly rates charged to our fee-paying clients, and which have been accepted as reasonable by this courts throughout this state and other district courts in numerous other class action litigations. *See, e.g., In re Hydroxycut Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.*, MDL No. 2087, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 162106, at *192 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 18, 2014) (approving hourly rates of Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP as "typical rates for attorneys of comparable experience"); *Hartless v. Clorox Company*, 273 F.R.D. 630, 644 (S.D. Cal. 2011) (approving BHO's hourly rates); *Dennis v. Kellogg Co.*, No. 09-cv-1786-L (WMC), 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 163118, at *22-*23 (S.D. Cal. Nov. 14, 2013) (approving BHO's hourly rates as "fall[ing] within typical rates for attorneys of comparable experience"); *Johnson v. General Mills, Inc.*, No. 10-61, 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 90338, at *19-*21 (C.D. Cal. June 17, 2013) (approving hourly rates and time spent by BHO, stating "[t]he Court has considered class counsel's rates and finds they are reasonable because of the experience of the attorneys and prevailing market rates") (citing BHO firm resume); *In re Adobe Systems Inc. Privacy Litig.*, No. 5:13-cv-05226-LHK (N.D. Cal. Aug. 13, 2015) (approving hourly rates and time spent by BHO, finding "counsel's hourly rates to be reasonable and in line with the prevailing rates in the community for complex litigation"). Further, based on my knowledge of the class action plaintiff's bar in California, the rates charged by my firm are in line with the rates charged by other firms that handle class actions of similar size and complexity. In addition, the rates are consistent with rates reported in applicable billing surveys. A copy of the 2014 National Law Journal Billing Rate Survey is

1 attached as **Exhibit 2**. Each of the BHO attorneys have practiced for the following number of
 2 years: Mr. Blood – 27 years; Ms. Hurst – 22 years; Mr. O’Reardon – 11 years; Ms. McPherson
 3 – 18 years; Ms. Brown – 10 years; Ms. Bass – 9 years. The billing surveys demonstrate that
 4 based on the years of experience of each of the BHO attorneys, the hourly rates of \$320.00 to
 5 \$810.00 are reasonable.

6 9. The total number of hours spent on this Litigation by my firm for both class and
 7 individual work as of October 11, 2017, was 3,149.50 hours. The total lodestar for attorney
 8 and paralegal time is \$1,699,760.00. For purposes of effectuating the Stipulation and Class
 9 Action Settlement Agreement, including Plaintiffs’ request for attorneys’ fees and
 10 reimbursement of costs, my firm has divided hours incurred by my firm between work
 11 performed primarily for litigation related solely for class claims and all other work in an
 12 attempt to divide up time spent between work on behalf of the Class and work done on behalf
 13 of Mr. Corvello, individually. The division of time spent on class versus non-class activities is
 14 innately imprecise, with frequent overlap. However, in an effort at a principled and
 15 administratively feasible approach, we have chosen to delineate class versus non-class
 16 activities based on time period during the Litigation. The time period devoted only on
 17 litigation of the Class Claims is referred to as the “Class Claims Period.” At BHO, the Class
 18 Claims Period is from June 1, 2015 through June 30, 2016, and June 30, 2017 through the
 19 present. The first portion of the Class Claims Period (from approximately June 2015 – July
 20 2016) encompasses a period of substantial class-only litigation. For example, class
 21 certification briefing, including supplemental briefing requested by the Court, briefing in
 22 response to Wells Fargo’s motion for clarification of the certification order, oral argument
 23 before this Court, and contested motions for approval of notice of pendency and for leave to
 24 file a first amended complaint to conform to the class certification order. (*Corvello*, ECF Nos.
 25 75-81, 96-98, 104, 111, 115, 123, 125, 127, 129, 132, 134, 143, 153, 158, and 160). The
 26 second portion of the Class Claims Period encompasses the period from when the motion for
 27 preliminary approval was filed (June 30, 2017) through the present, and includes oral
 28 argument on preliminary approval, class member communications and settlement

administration, and final approval matters. (*Corvello*, ECF Nos. 214-215, 217, and 219). As of October 11, 2017, the number of hours spent by my firm during the Class Claims Period is 514.50 hours. The total lodestar for attorney and paralegal time during the Class Claims Period is \$318,793.75.

Attorney/Paralegal	Hours	Rate	Lodestar
Timothy G. Blood (Partner)	228.00	\$810	\$184,680.00
Leslie E. Hurst (Partner)	3.00	\$660	\$1,980.00
Thomas J. O'Reardon II (Partner)	135.00	\$560	\$75,600.00
Paula R. Brown (Associate)	0.75	\$440	\$330.00
Jennifer MacPherson (Of Counsel)	36.25	\$435	\$15,768.75
Camille S. Bass (Associate)	2.50	\$410	\$1,025.00
Sarah R. Boot (Associate)	67.00	\$410	\$27,470.00
Colette N. Menaldino (Contract Attorney)	4.50	\$320	\$1,440.00
Elle Chaseton (Paralegal)	37.50	\$280	\$10,500.00
Totals	514.50		\$318,793.75

10. The hours and lodestar incurred by my firm will increase because, as Class Counsel, my firm, along with co-lead Class Counsel, is responsible for any further briefing in this case, attending the final approval hearing, and the post hearing work, including claims administration. On an ongoing basis, my firm has been and will continue to be in regular contact with Class Members who contact us regarding the settlement and its claims process, as well as the Settlement Administrator regarding the claims and opt out processes, will continue to oversee the claim process and will continue to regularly review and act on the reports provided by the Settlement Administrator, as well as address issues which will arise.

11. My firm's lodestar figures are based upon the firm's billing rates, which rates do not include charges for expense items. Expense items are billed separately and such charges are not duplicated in my firm's billing rates.

12. My firm has incurred a total of \$79,662.85 in unreimbursed expenses in connection with the prosecution of this Litigation from inception through August 19, 2017. The expenses incurred in this action are reflected in the books and records of my firm. These books and records are prepared from expense vouchers, check records and other source materials and are an accurate record of the expenses incurred. As detailed below, through August 19, 2017, my firm has incurred unreimbursed expenses of \$7,125.76 during the Class Claims Period.

13. The out-of-pocket litigation expenses incurred by BHO also are reasonable in amount and were necessary for the effective and efficient prosecution of the Litigation. In addition, I believe the expenses are all of a type that would normally be charged to a fee-paying client in the private legal marketplace and have actually been charged by my firm to fee-paying clients. They are also the categories of expenses that have been awarded to my firm and other plaintiff's counsel in other class action settlements, including in the following cases: *In re: Hydroxycut Mktg. and Sales Prac. Litig.*, MDL No. 2086 (S.D. Cal. 2014); *Serochi v. Bosa*, No. 2009-00096686 (S.D. Super. Ct. 2014); *Hartless v. Clorox Co.*, No. 06-cv-02705 (S.D. Cal. 2011); *Johnson v. Gen. Mills, Inc.*, No. 10-cv-00061 (C.D. Cal. 2013); *Grabowski v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.*, No. 12-cv-00204 (W.D. Ky. 2013); *Schwartz v. Reebok Int'l Ltd.*, No. 10-cv-12018 (D. Mass. 2012); *Nelson v. Mead Johnson & Co., LLC*, No. 09-cv-61625 (S.D. Fla. 2012); and *Gemelas v. The Dannon Co., Inc.*, No. 08-cv-00236 (N.D. Ohio 2011).

14. My firm's out-of-pocket litigation expenses in the amount of \$7,125.76 during the Class Claims Period are summarized below:

Expense Category	Total
Copying	\$1,076.95
Hearing Transcript	\$79.20
Document Database / ESI Services	\$3,361.45
Postage	\$132.69
LexisNexis / PACER	\$279.21

Conference Calls	\$79.50
Transportation, Hotels & Meals	\$2,116.76
TOTAL	\$7,125.76

15. The following is additional information regarding these expenses:

(a) Transportation, Hotels & Meals: \$2,116.76. These out-of-town travel costs are in connection with my trip to San Francisco for hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for approval of notice of pendency of a class action, Plaintiff's motion for leave to file a first amended complaint, and Wells Fargo's motion to clarify the class certification order. I presented oral argument during the April 7, 2016, hearing on these motions. These travel expenses also include those in connection with travel to San Francisco for the August 10, 2017, hearing on Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. I also presented oral argument during that hearing.

(b) Postage/FedEx/Delivery: \$132.69. These costs were incurred to federal express chamber's copies of the following filings to the Court pursuant to Civil Local Rule 5.1(e)(7): Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Approval of Form, Content, and Manner of Class Notice (*Corvello*, ECF No. 125); Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Leave to File First Amended Complaint (*Corvello*, ECF No. 132); Plaintiffs' Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Approval of Form, Content and Manner of Class Notice (*Corvello*, ECF No. 134); Plaintiffs' Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement (*Corvello*, ECF No. 214); and the Notice of Filing re: Corrected Class Action Settlement Agreement (*Corvello*, ECF No. 215).

(c) Online Research: \$279.21. This amount of \$144.51 was paid to Lexis Nexis for legal research, and the amount of \$134.70 was paid to the Administrative Office of the United States Courts for PACER research of federal court filings. Lexis is used to obtain access to legal research, factual databases, and for cite-checking of briefs. The expense amount detailed herein represents the out-of-pocket costs incurred by my firm in connection with use of these services in connection with this litigation. My firm has a flat-rate contract with Lexis

1 for use of its services. When my firm utilizes Lexis services, a billing code is entered for the
 2 specific case being researched. At the end of each billing period in which a service is used,
 3 BHO's costs for such services are allocated to specific cases based on the percentage of use in
 4 connection with that specific case in the billing period. As a result of the contract negotiated
 5 by my firm, the Class enjoys substantial savings in comparison with the "market rate" for *a la*
 6 *carte* use of online legal research services, which some law firms pass on to their clients.

7 (d) Conference Calls: \$79.50. These conference call charges were incurred
 8 to make or host conference calls with Wells Fargo's attorneys, co-counsel, or telephonic status
 9 conferences with the Court (e.g., the telephonic status conferences held on February 2, 2016
 10 and May 31, 2016). The conference call phone charges are allocated to each case by punching
 11 in a case code after accessing the conference call number. The case code is mandatory to host a
 12 conference call. At the end of each billing period, the conference call charges for each case are
 13 entered into our billing system.

14 (e) In-House Photocopying/Printing: \$1,076.95. The copy costs requested
 15 herein were incurred in connection with the motion for approval of notice of pendency of a
 16 class action, motion for leave to file a first amended complaint, motion to clarify the class
 17 certification order, and motion for preliminary approval of class action settlement. This
 18 includes providing the Court with hard-copies of these documents and additional in-house
 19 copies and printing case law when necessary in connection with oral argument held on these
 20 motions. Each time our copy machine is used, our billing system requires that a case code be
 21 entered. For each page copied or printed, my firm charges 35 cents. This is the rate charged to
 22 all clients, including non-contingency clients. The rate is determined by calculating the
 23 approximate cost to my firm per page, without any mark-up. The calculation includes the
 24 monthly copy machine rental, the price-per-page charged by our vendor and paper and toner
 25 costs, which are not included in the price-per-page cost. The average is approximate because it
 26 varies each month depending upon the number of photocopies made for a particular month, but
 27 35 cents is an accurate approximate average over time.
 28

(f) Electronic Document Management: \$3,361.45. This amount was paid to an e-discovery specialist, DTI Global, for monthly hosting, storage and management of documents produced in the action in response to discovery requests. Defendant produced tens of thousands of pages of discovery, including emails. Thus, it was necessary for my firm was to be able to search, review, code, and organize these documents on the secure, Internet-based electronic database hosted by DTI. The Relativity platform hosted by DTI is a standard ESI software tool used in complex litigation involving large data productions.

(g) Hearing Transcript: \$79.20. This amount was paid for the transcript of proceedings from the October 15, 2015, hearing on Plaintiffs' motion for class certification.

16. As previously disclosed in connection with the motion for preliminary approval, Plaintiffs have each entered into settlements with Wells Fargo relating to their individual, non-class claims, including their individual breach of contract claims, which they continued to pursue after certification of the contract claim was denied. Mr. Corvello made three TPP payments of approximately \$6,600 in 2010, and thereafter was denied a permanent HAMP modification and lost his home at foreclosure. In connection with the settlement of his individual claims, including for breach of contract (which was not certified for class treatment), Mr. Corvello will receive a refund of his TPP payments. In exchange, Mr. Corvello (unlike absent Class Members) will provide Wells Fargo with a complete release of all claims, known and unknown, that he could assert against Wells Fargo and its affiliated people and entities, including the investors of his loan. In addition, Class Counsel will receive amounts for their time and expenses approximated as not devoted solely to the Certified Claims. The Class settlement is not contingent on these individual settlements. Instead, the individual settlements will only go into effect if the Class Settlement is approved. Copies of those agreements are attached as Exhibits C and D to my declaration filed in support of preliminary approval. (*Corvello*, ECF No. 214-1).

17. All totaled, under the three settlements combined Class Counsel will receive significantly less than their actual lodestar incurred in this Litigation. Wells Fargo agreed to pay a total of \$3,043,400 for fees, expenses, and service awards. Based on the time and

1 expenses accrued by Class Counsel through October 11, 2017, and after accounting for the
2 proposed service awards, the fee award would result in a negative multiplier of 0.85. The
3 actual negative multiplier is lower because the 0.85 multiplier does not take into account the
4 lodestar or expenses accrued by non-Class Counsel, or the time and expenses that will be
5 required from Class Counsel going forward.

6 18. As of October 16, 2017, Class Counsel are not aware of any objections to the
7 Settlement, and just four requests for exclusion.

8 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that
9 the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 16, 2017, at San Diego, California.

10
11 By: s/ Timothy G. Blood

12 TIMOTHY G. BLOOD
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on October 16, 2017, I electronically filed the foregoing with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the e-mail addresses denoted on the Electronic Mail Notice List, and I hereby certify that I have mailed the foregoing document or paper via the United States Postal Service to the non-CM/ECF participants indicated on the Electronic Mail Notice List.

I certify under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed on October 16, 2017.

s/ Timothy G. Blood

TIMOTHY G. BLOOD

BLOOD HURST & O'REARDON, LLP
701 B Street, Suite 1700
San Diego, CA 92101
Telephone: 619/338-1100
619/338-1101 (fax)
tblood@bholaw.com

Exhibit 1



701 B Street, Suite 1700 | San Diego, CA 92101
T | 619.338.1100 F | 619.338.1101
www.bholaw.com

FIRM RESUME



Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, LLP focuses in the nationwide prosecution of complex class actions. The firm represents the interests of consumers, insurance policy holders and investors in state and federal trial and appellate courts throughout the country. The principals of Blood Hurst & O'Reardon come from a large firm that represented plaintiffs in class action litigation, where they formed the core of the consumer and insurance practice group. Blood Hurst & O'Reardon's principals have been appointed lead counsel and have held other leadership positions in a wide variety of class action matters.

Timothy G. Blood

Mr. Blood is the firm's managing partner. His practice has focused on complex litigation, including class action litigation, since the early 1990's. Mr. Blood has tried class action cases and is highly regarded in the field of consumer protection law, including California's Unfair Competition Law and Consumers Legal Remedies Act.

Mr. Blood has represented millions of retail consumers, holders of life, automobile and homeowner insurance policies, mortgagors, credit card customers, homeowners, and victims of race discrimination. He practices in both state and federal courts throughout the country, and has represented the interests of consumers formally or informally before the Federal Trade Commission, the California Department of Justice, the California Legislative Analyst's Office and the California Department of Insurance. He has worked with the Federal Trade Commission to obtain record setting recoveries for consumers. In *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.), Mr. Blood's work with the Federal Trade Commission resulted in the largest consumer recovery in a false advertising action in FTC history. Other large and record-setting recoveries for consumers include a \$3.4 billion settlement in 2017 for owners of certain Toyota vehicles and the largest false advertising recovery in the history of the food industry.

Since 2010, some of Mr. Blood's court-appointed leadership positions include: Court appointed lead counsel in *Warner v. Toyota Motor Sales* (C.D. Cal); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) counsel in *In re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Prods. Mktg., Sales Practices, and Prods. Liability Litig.* (D.N.J.); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) counsel in *Mullins v. Premier Nutrition Corp.* (N.D. Cal.); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.* (N.D. Cal.); Class Counsel Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.*, (S.D. Ohio; Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Godec v. Bayer Corp.* (N.D. Ohio); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *Johns v. Bayer Corp.* (S.D. Cal.); Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(g) Class Counsel in *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.); Plaintiffs' Liaison Counsel and Steering Committee member by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the multidistrict litigation *In re Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*; Class Counsel by the district court for the District of Massachusetts in *In re Reebok Easytone Litig.*; Class Counsel in *Serochi v. Bosa Dev. Cal.* by the San Diego Superior Court; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the Los Angeles Superior Court in *In re Toyota Motor Cases*, (Toyota Unintended Acceleration Consolidated Litigation); Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in the multidistrict litigation *In re Hydroxycut Mktg. and Sales Practices Litig.*; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Central District of California in *Johnson v. Gen. Mills*,

Inc.; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio in *Gemeles v. The Dannon Co.*; Co-Lead Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Southern District of California in *Hartless v. Clorox Co.*; and Class Counsel by the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida in *Smith v. Wm. Wrigley, Jr. Co.*

Mr. Blood has acted as lead counsel in a number of “functional food” false advertising class actions, including cases against General Mills and The Dannon Company filed in federal courts around the country. The *Dannon* litigation resulted in the largest settlement in food industry history for false advertising.

He was lead trial counsel in *Lebrilla v. Farmers Ins. Grp., Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Orange Cnty.) a multistate class action which settled on terms favorable to the class after a month long trial and just before closing arguments. He was also co-lead trial counsel in *In re Red Light Photo Enft Cases* (Cal. Super. Ct. San Diego Cnty.), an action brought on behalf of California motorists.

Mr. Blood has represented millions of purchasers of food, food supplements and over-the-counter drugs arising out of various advertising claims made by manufacturers and retailers. He has also represented owners of motor vehicles in product liability cases and consumer credit and mortgage borrowers against a number of major lending institutions, including Bank of America, Washington Mutual, Countrywide, GMAC and Wells Fargo.

Mr. Blood has extensive experience litigating against life, auto and other insurance carriers on behalf of consumers. His experience litigating against life insurance companies includes representing owners, holders and beneficiaries of industrial life insurance in race discrimination cases (with class periods dating back to the late 1800’s). He also represented those holding traditional life insurance policies in market conduct actions such as the “vanishing premium” life insurance actions. Mr. Blood was responsible for one of only two litigated cases where classes were certified in the vanishing premium series of cases. He was one of the few plaintiffs’ attorneys to obtain class-wide recoveries in the “imitation parts” automobile insurance actions. Insurance companies against whom Mr. Blood has litigated include the American General companies, Farmers Insurance Group of companies, Mercury Insurance Group, Allstate, State Farm, Great Southern Life, Metropolitan Life, United Life Insurance Company, Midland National Life Insurance Company and General American Insurance Company.

Mr. Blood has also represented consumers in traditional false advertising actions, those victimized by so-called “negative option” sales practices, and owners of a variety of different types of faulty computer equipment and software from manufacturers. Some of these retailers and manufacturers include Apple, Dell, IBM, Procter & Gamble, General Mills, The Dannon Company, Bayer, AG, Bosa Development, Kellogg Company and General Dynamics.

Mr. Blood has been involved in a number of precedent-setting appellate decisions in areas which include consumer and insurance law and class action procedure. These appellate decisions include: *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.*, 799 F.3d 497 (6th Cir. 2015) (class certification) *cert. denied*, 2016 U.S. LEXIS 2244 (U.S. Mar. 28, 2016); *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, 728 F.3d 878 (9th Cir. 2013) (consumer protection and banking); *Fitzpatrick v. Gen.*



Mills, Inc., 635 F.3d 1279 (11th Cir. 2011) (class certification, consumer law and false advertising); *Westwood Apex v. Contreras*, 644 F.3d 799 (9th Cir. 2011) (CAFA jurisdiction); *Kwikset Corp. v. Super. Ct. (Benson)*, 51 Cal. 4th 310 (2011) (consumer law and false advertising); *Martinez v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., Inc.*, 598 F.3d 549 (9th Cir. 2010) (banking and preemption); *Troyk v. Farmers Grp., Inc.*, 171 Cal. App. 4th 1305 (2009) (insurance law); *Haw. Med. Ass'n v. Haw. Med. Serv. Ass'n*, 148 P.3d 1179 (Haw. 2006) (health insurance); *McKell v. Wash. Mut. Bank, Inc.*, 142 Cal. App. 4th 1457 (2006) (banking law and consumer law); *Santiago v. GMAC Mortg. Grp., Inc.*, 417 F.3d 384 (3d Cir. 2005) (consumer and banking law); *Lebrilla v. Farmers Grp., Inc.*, 119 Cal. App. 4th 1070 (2004) (automobile insurance and class action procedure); *Moore v. Liberty Nat'l Life Ins. Co.*, 267 F.3d 1209 (11th Cir. 2001), *cert. denied*, 535 U.S. 1018 (2002) (life insurance and civil rights); *Kruse v. Wells Fargo Home Mortg., Inc.*, 383 F.3d 49 (2d Cir. 2004) (consumer and banking law); and *Lavie v. Procter & Gamble Co.*, 105 Cal. App. 4th 496 (2003) (consumer law and false advertising).

Mr. Blood has testified before the California State Assembly and State Senate Judiciary Committees, as well as the Assembly and Senate Committees on Banking, Finance & Insurance. He has worked at both the state and federal level with lawmakers and government agencies to shape legislation to protect consumer rights, including lobbying on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 and working to defeat a California state ballot initiative designed to weaken the class action device.

Mr. Blood is a frequent continuing legal education speaker on topics which include complex litigation, class action procedure, consumer fraud, false advertising, financial fraud litigation and insurance litigation. He has been an invited speaker for American Bar Association practice groups, the Practicing Law Institute, University of San Diego School of Law, University of Arizona School of Law, Loyola Law School, the Grocery Manufacturers Association, the American Association of Justice, Consumer Attorneys of California, ALI-ABA, the National Practice Institute, Bridgeport Continuing Education, Law Seminars International, and the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, for which he chairs a multi-day seminar on class action litigation.

Mr. Blood is frequently consulted by the media. He has appeared on Good Morning America, ABC World News Tonight, and major network affiliates on behalf of his clients. He has been interviewed for stories featuring consumer rights issues and his cases by *The New York Times*, *The Wall Street Journal*, *Bloomberg*, Reuters, the Associated Press, *The Los Angeles Times*, National Public Radio, the *Daily Journal*, *Adweek*, the *Los Angeles Daily News*, CNBC, Fox News, the Korean Broadcasting Service and others.

Mr. Blood is a member of the Board of Directors of the Consumer Attorneys of California and a member of its executive board from 2014 to 2016. He was the 2015 President of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego and a member of the CASD Foundation, a charitable giving non-profit. In 2007, he was a finalist for the Consumer Attorneys of California Lawyer of the Year award for his trial work in a multistate class action against Farmers Insurance. He has been named a "Super Lawyer" since 2006 and has achieved an "AV" rating by Martindale Hubbell. In 2014, Mr. Blood was named a "Titan of the Plaintiff's Bar" by the national legal publication Law360. Mr. Blood was elected a Fellow of the American Bar Foundation. Mr.



Blood is also the Legislative Column Editor for *Trial Bar News*. Mr. Blood is also a founding member of the San Diego ESI Forum, a group of judges and lawyers devoted to teaching legal professionals in federal and state court about electronic discovery.

Mr. Blood is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the U.S Supreme Court, the United States Courts of Appeal for the Second, Third, Fifth, Sixth, Eighth, Ninth and Eleventh Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California, the Eastern and Western Districts of Arkansas, the Northern District of Illinois, and the Eastern District of Michigan. Before starting Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, Mr. Blood was a partner in Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, LLP and a founding partner in the firm now known as Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP. Mr. Blood received his Juris Doctor from George Washington University in 1990 and his Bachelor of Arts with honors in Economics from Hobart College in 1987.

Leslie E. Hurst

Ms. Hurst is a co-founding partner of the firm. Prior to founding the firm, Ms. Hurst was a partner in Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, LLP and an associate at Milberg Weiss Bershad Hynes & Lerach, LLP.

Her practice has focused on complex class action lawsuits, including federal multi-district litigation and California Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings, with an emphasis on consumer fraud and insurance cases under California's consumer protection statutes.

Ms. Hurst works in a number of practice areas, including areas focusing on cases against: (1) life insurers for misrepresenting the terms of vanishing premium life insurance; (2) auto insurers for repairs with non-OEM parts, diminished value claims and improper collection of installment service charges; (3) financial institutions for a variety of conduct; (4) insurance companies for race-based discrimination in the sale of small value "industrial" or "burial" insurance policies; (5) consumer goods manufacturers for false and deceptive advertising; (6) real estate developers for fraud and false advertising; and (7) improper collection and over collection of fees from residents by the City of Los Angeles.

The most recent settlements on which Ms. Hurst was instrumental include: *Serochi v. Bosa Dev.* (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cnty.), (\$16.75 million settlement to condominium purchasers for square footage misrepresentations by the developer); *Chakhalyan v. City of Los Angeles* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.), (providing full refunds of overcharges and a revamping of L.A. billing practices); *Hartless v. Clorox Co.*, (S.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$10 million that provided 100% recovery of damages to class members); *In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Fla.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$8 million involving false advertising of infant formula); *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.) (nationwide settlement of \$45 million).

Ms. Hurst is also involved in the firm's appellate practice. She successfully argued before the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeal in *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, (9th Cir.) and before California's Second District Court of Appeal in *Goodman v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA*, and before



California's Fourth District Court of Appeal in *Guerra v. San Diego Gas & Elec.* Ms. Hurst also briefed the appeals in *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.* (6th Cir.); *In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (11th Cir.); *Hartless v. Clorox Co.* (9th Cir.); *Garcia v. Sony Comput. Entm't* (9th Cir.); and *Gutierrez v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.* (9th Cir.).

Between 2003 and 2005, Ms. Hurst took a sabbatical from law and moved to Sri Lanka where she worked for CARE International as the Coordinator for Strategic Planning with an emphasis on development of CARE's long-term strategic plan for the conflict-affected areas.

Ms. Hurst is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the United States Courts of Appeal for the Sixth, Eighth and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California. Ms. Hurst received her Juris Doctor degree from the University of California, Hastings College of the Law in 1995. She earned her Master of Arts degree in Sociology from the University of California, Berkeley and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Sociology (*cum laude*) from the University of San Diego. Ms. Hurst is an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, and Consumer Attorneys of California.

Thomas J. O'Reardon II

Mr. O'Reardon is a co-founding partner of the firm. His practice focuses exclusively on complex class action lawsuits involving consumer fraud, insurance fraud and antitrust violations. Mr. O'Reardon received his Juris Doctor degree from the University of San Diego School of Law and his Bachelor of Arts degree in Politics from Wake Forest University. He is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the United States Courts of Appeal for the Sixth, Eighth and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California and the Northern District of Illinois.

Prior to founding the firm, Mr. O'Reardon was an associate at Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, LLP. There, Mr. O'Reardon worked on numerous complex class action litigation matters, including actions involving: annuity policies marketed and sold to senior citizens; insurer kickbacks known as "contingent commissions" in the property and casualty insurance brokerage industry; Sherman Act claims against the world's largest manufacturers of random access memory for computers; invasions of credit card holder's rights of privacy; false and deceptive advertising of consumer goods and wireless telephone services; automobile insurers' unlawful practices with respect to installment pay plans; and dangerous and defective products, including recalled children's toys. He was also part of the team representing the California Department of Insurance against five of the largest employee benefit insurance companies for violations relating to their failure to disclose payments of contingent commissions to brokers. As a result of the action, all five defendants agreed to sweeping changes in their disclosure practices.

Some of the actions on which Mr. O'Reardon has worked include: *Mullins v. Premier Nutrition Corp.* (N.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving false advertising of Joint Juice glucosamine and chondroitin supplement); *Rikos v. The Procter & Gamble Co.* (S.D. Ohio) (certified class action involving false advertising of P&G's Align probiotic, affirmed by the Sixth

Circuit); *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.) (nationwide settlement of \$45 million involving false advertising of Skechers' Shape-ups toning shoes products); *In re Reebok Easytone Litig.* (D. Mass.) (nationwide settlement of \$25 million involving false advertising of Reebok toning footwear and apparel products); *Murr v. Capital One Bank (USA), N.A.* (E.D. Va.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$7.3 million involving 0% APR billing practices); *Dolfo v. Bank of Am.* (S.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving mortgage modification banking practices); *Johnson v. Gen. Mills, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving false advertising of General Mills' YoPlus yogurt, which resulted in a nationwide settlement of \$8.5 million); *Fitzpatrick v. Gen. Mills, Inc.* (S.D. Fla.) (certified class action reviewed and approved by the Eleventh Circuit); *Johns v. Bayer Corp.* (S.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving false advertising of Bayer's One-A-Day multivitamins); *Godec v. Bayer Corp.* (N.D. Ohio) (certified class action involving false advertising of Bayer's One-A-Day multivitamins, which settled on a classwide basis); *Corvello v. Wells Fargo Bank, NA* (N.D. Cal.) (certified class action involving mortgage modification practices where order granting motion to dismiss was reversed by the Ninth Circuit in a published opinion); *Rosales v. FitFlop USA LLC* (S.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement of \$5.3 million involving false advertising of toning footwear); *Blessing v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (nationwide settlement valued in excess of \$180 million involving monopoly price increases arising out of the merger between Sirius and XM); *In re Dynamic Random Access Memory Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.) (settlement of more than \$300 million); *In re Mattel, Inc. [Toy Lead Paint Prods. Liab. Litig.]* (C.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement valued at over \$50 million); *Gemelas v. Dannon Co., Inc.* (N.D. Ohio) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$45 million involving false advertising of Dannon's Activia and DanActive yogurt products); *In re Enfamil LIPIL Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Fla.) (certified class action involving false advertising of infant formula, which resulted in nationwide settlement in excess of \$8 million); *Smith v. Wm. Wrigley Jr. Co.* (S.D. Fla.) (nationwide settlement in excess of \$7 million involving false advertising of Wrigley Eclipse chewing gum and mints); *Duffer v. Chattem, Inc.* (S.D. Cal.) (nationwide settlement of up to \$1.8 million involving false advertising of ACT Total Care mouthwash); *In re Enron Corp. Sec. Litig.* (S.D. Tex.) (settlements of \$7.3 billion); *AOL Time Warner Cases* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.) (settlements of approximately \$630 million); *Morris v. CBS Broad., Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (nationwide settlement on behalf of purchasers of asbestos-laden children's toys); *In re Aqua Dots Prods. Liab. Litig.* (N.D. Ill.) (multidistrict litigation on behalf of purchasers of more than 4 million toxic children's toys); *Berry v. Mega Brands, Inc.* (D.N.J.) (litigation on behalf of purchasers of more than 10 million lethal children's toys); *In re Toyota Motor Cases*, (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.) (litigation on behalf of consumers who purchased vehicles subject to "sudden unintended acceleration"); and *In re Hydroxycut Mktg. and Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Cal.) (multidistrict litigation on behalf of purchasers of unsafe and ineffective weight-loss products, which resulted in a nationwide settlement valued in excess of \$20 million). With the exception of the *Blessing v. Sirius XM Radio, Inc.* litigation, Mr. O'Reardon and/or his firm served as court-appointed Lead or Co-Lead Counsel in each of the above-mentioned class actions. In granting final settlement approval, which included appointing Mr. O'Reardon as Class Counsel, the Court's order in the *Johnson v. Gen. Mills, Inc.* (C.D. Cal.) action states that Mr. O'Reardon is "vastly experienced" in consumer class action litigation.



Mr. O'Reardon is an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, the Consumer Attorneys of California, and a founding member of the CAOC Young Lawyers Division. In 2014-2017, Mr. O'Reardon was named a "Super Lawyers Rising Star," a designation provided to less than 2.5 percent of lawyers in California. He has also been a member of, and contributing author for, The Sedona Conference Working Group on Electronic Document Retention and Production. Mr. O'Reardon has been an invited speaker for the University of San Diego School of Law, Consumer Attorneys of California, the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego, and the San Diego ESI Forum on topics which include complex litigation, electronic discovery, and the class action settlement process.

Paula R. Brown

Ms. Brown is an associate with the firm. Her practice focuses on complex class action litigation, including consumer and antitrust cases in federal multi-district litigation and California Judicial Council Coordinated Proceedings. Ms. Brown is also involved in the firm's appellate practice.

Ms. Brown received her Juris Doctor degree and graduated *cum laude* from California Western School of Law in 2007 and earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of Washington in 2004. While at California Western, Ms. Brown was a member of the *California Western Law Review* and authored *Parent-Child Relationship Trumps Biology: California's Definition of Parent in the Context of Same-Sex Relationships*, 43 Cal. W. L. Rev. 235 (2006). She is admitted to practice in the state of California, as well as the United States Courts of Appeal for the Eighth and Ninth Circuits, and the United States District Courts for the Northern, Eastern, Central and Southern Districts of California and the Northern District of Illinois.

Prior to joining Blood Hurst & O'Reardon, Ms. Brown was an associate at Coughlin Stoia Geller Rudman & Robbins, LLP. While there, she represented plaintiffs in a number of complex class action litigation matters involving: price-fixing claims against the world's largest aftermarket auto lighting parts manufacturers and distributors; monopoly claims against the largest seller of portable media players; price fixing claims against containerboard manufacturers; race-discrimination claims against mortgage lenders; and false and deceptive practices in the sale of defective children's products and toys.

Some of the actions on which Ms. Brown has worked include: *In re: Johnson & Johnson Talcum Powder Products Marketing, Sales Practices, and Products Liability Litigation* (D.N.J.) (nationwide false advertising); *Serochi v. Bosa Dev.* (Cal. Super. Ct., San Diego Cnty.), (misrepresentations case); *Dennis v. Kellogg Co.* (nationwide false advertising); *In re Skechers Toning Shoes Prods. Liab. Litig.* (W.D. Ky.) (nationwide false advertising); *In re Reebok Easytone Litig.* (D. Mass.) (nationwide false advertising); *Dremak v. Urban Outfitters, Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.) (consumer privacy); *In re Sony Gaming Networks and Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.* (S.D. Cal.) (consumer privacy); *In re Hydroxycut Mkt. and Sales Practices Litig.* (S.D. Cal.) (false advertising); *In re Apple iPod iTunes Antitrust Litig.* (N.D. Cal.) (monopoly claims); *In re Mattel, Inc. [Toy Lead Paint Prods. Liab. Litig.]* (C.D. Cal.) (nationwide sale of defective product); *In re Aftermarket Auto. Lighting Prods. Antitrust Litig.*

(C.D. Cal.) (price fixing); *Payares v. JP Morgan Chase & Co.* (C.D. Cal.); *Salazar v. Greenpoint Mortg.* (N.D. Cal.); *Puello v. Citifinancial* (D. Mass.); *Morris v. CBS Broad., Inc.* (S.D.N.Y.) (defective product); *In re Aqua Dots Prods. Liab. Litig.* (N.D. Ill.) (defective product); and *Berry v. Mega Brands, Inc.* (D.N.J.) (defective product).

Ms. Brown is an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of San Diego and the Consumer Attorneys of California. In 2015 and 2016, Ms. Brown was named a “Super Lawyers Rising Star,” a designation provided to less than 2.5 percent of lawyers in California.

Camille S. Bass

Ms. Bass is an associate with the firm. Her practice focuses on complex class action cases involving consumer fraud and product liability issues. Ms. Bass received her Bachelor of Science from Arizona State University and her Juris Doctor from St. John’s University School of Law in New York, where she was a member of the junior moot court team and was awarded a fellowship in international business transactions with Soochow University in Suzhou, China. During law school Ms. Bass also clerked for the Honorable John C. Rea with the Superior Court of the State of Arizona.

Before joining Blood Hurst & O’Reardon, Ms. Bass was Senior Counsel at Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani in the Commercial Litigation, Environmental and Toxic Tort, and Privacy and Data Security practice groups, and she authored several privacy-related blog posts (‘Twas the season for data breaches; To post on Facebook, or not to post; Speedy internet may cost you more than money; and What’s up next on the hacking block?).

Prior to joining Gordon & Rees, Ms. Bass was an associate at Hagens Berman Sobol Shapiro. While there, she worked on complex class actions and multidistrict litigation such as: *In re: Toyota Motor Corp. Unintended Acceleration Mktg., Sales Practices, and Prod. Liab. Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (landmark \$1.6 billion settlement involving consumers who purchased certain Toyota and Lexus models that were subject to sudden unintended acceleration); *Kearney v. Hyundai Motor Am.* (C.D. Cal.) (settlement involving certain Hyundai models with defective occupant classification systems that failed to deploy front passenger airbags in an accident); and *In re: Hyundai and Kia Fuel Econ. Litig.* (C.D. Cal.) (certified class action settlement involving flawed testing methods that lead to overstated MPG estimates on certain Hyundai and Kia models, currently on appeal).

Ms. Bass is a member of the California and Arizona Bars, and is admitted to practice in the United States Court of Appeal for the Ninth Circuit, as well as the United States District Courts for the Southern and Central Districts of California and the United States District Court for the District of Arizona. She is also an active member of the Lawyers Club of San Diego, Consumer Attorneys of California, and Consumer Attorneys of San Diego.

Jennifer L. MacPherson

Ms. MacPherson is of counsel with the firm. Her practice focuses on complex class action litigation. Ms. MacPherson received her Juris Doctor degree from the University of San



Diego School of Law in 1997 with a J.D. and an L.L.M in tax and earned her Bachelor of Arts degree in International Business and Marketing from the University of Hawaii in 1994. During law school she was a summer law clerk to the Honorable Walter S. Kirititsu (Ret.) in the Hawaii Intermediate Court of Appeals and was a research assistant to Professor C. Hugh Friedman author of *California Practice Guide: Corporations*. She is a member of the California Bar and is licensed to practice before the United States District Courts for the Central, Southern and Northern Districts of California.

For over a decade Ms. MacPherson has prosecuted class actions on behalf of consumers, policyholders, investors, employees, and medical practitioners against the nation's largest retailers and manufacturers of consumer products, insurers of homes and automobiles, banks, and employers for violations of federal and state consumer, antitrust, securities and labor laws. During this time she has actively litigated complex class action litigation matters involving: false and deceptive advertising by one of the nation's largest retail mall chains for selling gift cards subject to a monthly service fee in violation of state law; truth in lending claims against a national bank for suspending borrower's home equity lines of credit; breach of contract claims against national lenders for failing to modify borrower's home loans after successful completion of a trial period plan; product defect claims against the world's largest manufacturers of laptops and cell phones; RICO claims against the nation's largest health insurance companies for denying, delaying and reducing payments to health care providers nationwide; privacy claims against national pharmacies for allegedly using prescription information to conduct targeted marketing campaigns on behalf of drug companies; data breach lawsuits against national banks and retailers for failing to properly safeguard consumer's personal information.

Some of these actions include: *Solomon v. Anthem, Inc.* (S.D. Fla.); *In re Sony VAIO Comput. Notebook Trackpad Litig.* (S.D. Cal.); *Horvath v. LG Elecs. MobileComm U.S.A., Inc.*, (S.D. Cal.); *Kazemi v. Westfield Am., Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.); *Frost v. LG Elecs. Mobilecomm U.S.A., Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.); *Shamrell v. Apple, Inc.* (Cal. Super. Ct., Los Angeles Cnty.).

EXHIBIT 2

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

Year	Firm Name	Location	Average FTE Attorneys	Partner Billing Rate High	Partner Billing Rate Low	Partner Billing Rate Avg	Associate Billing Rate High	Associate Billing Rate Low	Associate Billing Rate Avg	Counsel Avg	Counsel Low	Counsel High	NLJ Billing Source	Notes
2014	Adams and Reese	New Orleans, LA	318	\$700.00	\$305.00	\$420.00	\$315.00	\$220.00	\$270.00	\$500.00	\$425.00	\$575.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Akerman	Miami, FL	523	\$880.00	\$360.00	\$535.00	\$465.00	\$205.00	\$305.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld	Washington, DC	809	\$1220.00	\$615.00	\$785.00	\$660.00	\$365.00	\$525.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Allen Matkins Leck Gamble Mallory & Natsis	Los Angeles, CA	181	\$680.00	\$525.00	\$615.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Alston & Bird	Atlanta, GA	789	\$875.00	\$495.00	\$675.00	\$575.00	\$280.00	\$425.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Andrews Kurth	Houston, TX	337	\$1090.00	\$745.00	\$890.00	\$1090.00	\$265.00	\$670.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Archer & Greiner	Haddonfield, NJ	194	\$460.00	\$330.00	\$400.00	\$295.00	\$200.00	\$245.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Arent Fox	Washington, DC	330	\$860.00	\$500.00	\$650.00	\$595.00	\$275.00	\$395.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Arnall Golden Gregory	Atlanta, GA	140	\$520.00	\$430.00	\$490.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Arnold & Porter	Washington, DC	720	\$950.00	\$670.00	\$815.00	\$610.00	\$345.00	\$500.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Arnstein & Lehr	Chicago, IL	144	\$595.00	\$350.00	\$465.00	\$350.00	\$175.00	\$250.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Baker & Hostetler	Cleveland, OH	798	\$670.00	\$275.00	\$449.00	\$350.00	\$210.00	\$272.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Baker & McKenzie	Chicago, IL	4087	\$1130.00	\$260.00	\$755.00	\$925.00	\$100.00	\$395.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz	Memphis, TN	588	\$495.00	\$340.00	\$400.00	\$465.00	\$245.00	\$295.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ballard Spahr	Philadelphia, PA	483	\$650.00	\$395.00	\$475.00	\$495.00	\$235.00	\$315.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Barnes & Thornburg	Indianapolis, IN	522	\$580.00	\$330.00	\$480.00	\$370.00	\$260.00	\$320.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Benesch, Friedlander, Coplan & Aronoff	Cleveland, OH	150	\$635.00	\$360.00	\$455.00	\$475.00	\$155.00	\$280.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Best Best & Krieger	Riverside, CA	176	\$655.00	\$340.00	\$455.00	\$385.00	\$235.00	\$280.00	\$439.83	\$340.00	\$595.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Bingham McCutchen	Boston, MA	795	\$1080.00	\$220.00	\$795.00	\$605.00	\$185.00	\$450.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Blank Rome	Philadelphia, PA	447	\$940.00	\$445.00	\$640.00	\$565.00	\$175.00	\$350.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bond, Schoeneck & King	Syracuse, NY	198	\$520.00	\$240.00	\$355.00	\$310.00	\$160.00	\$225.00	\$360.00	\$275.00	\$485.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bowles Rice	Charleston, WV	140	\$285.00	\$165.00	\$230.00	\$180.00	\$115.00	\$135.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bracewell & Giuliani	Houston, TX	441	\$1125.00	\$575.00	\$760.00	\$700.00	\$275.00	\$440.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bradley Arant Boult Cummings	Birmingham, AL	413	\$605.00	\$325.00	\$430.00	\$340.00	\$200.00	\$260.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Broad and Cassel	Orlando, FL	150	\$465.00	\$295.00	\$380.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Brown Rudnick	Boston, MA	187	\$1045.00	\$650.00	\$856.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Brownstein Hyatt Farber Schreck	Denver, CO	214	\$700.00	\$310.00	\$520.00	\$345.00	\$265.00	\$305.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Bryan Cave	St. Louis, MO	985	\$900.00	\$410.00	\$620.00	\$595.00	\$220.00	\$405.00	\$635.00	\$355.00	\$865.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Buchalter Nemer	Los Angeles, CA	139	\$695.00	\$475.00	\$605.00	\$375.00	\$350.00	\$365.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Burr & Forman	Birmingham, AL	261	\$525.00	\$300.00	\$371.00	\$275.00	\$200.00	\$241.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Butler Snow	Ridgeland, MS	280	\$335.00	\$235.00	\$302.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cadwalader, Wickersham & Taft	New York, NY	437	\$1050.00	\$800.00	\$930.00	\$750.00	\$395.00	\$605.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Carlton Fields	Tampa, FL	272	\$840.00	\$455.00	\$600.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cole, Schotz, Meisel, Forman & Leonard	Hackensack, NJ	118	\$730.00	\$590.00	\$653.00	\$340.00	\$275.00	\$302.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Connell Foley	Roseland, NJ	129	\$575.00	\$275.00	\$425.00	\$325.00	\$200.00	\$265.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cooley	Palo Alto, CA	673	\$990.00	\$660.00	\$820.00	\$640.00	\$335.00	\$515.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Covington & Burling	Washington, DC	760	\$890.00	\$605.00	\$780.00	\$565.00	\$320.00	\$415.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Cozen O'Connor	Philadelphia, PA	495	\$1135.00	\$275.00	\$570.00	\$640.00	\$180.00	\$355.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Curtis, Mallet-Prevost, Colt & Mosle	New York, NY	323	\$860.00	\$730.00	\$800.00	\$785.00	\$345.00	\$480.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Davis Graham & Stubbs	Denver, CO	145	\$635.00	\$315.00	\$435.00	\$350.00	\$200.00	\$255.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Davis Polk & Wardwell	New York, NY	810	\$985.00	\$850.00	\$975.00	\$975.00	\$130.00	\$615.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Debevoise & Plimpton	New York, NY	595	\$1075.00	\$955.00	\$1055.00	\$760.00	\$120.00	\$490.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dechert	New York, NY	845	\$1095.00	\$670.00	\$900.00	\$735.00	\$395.00	\$530.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dentons	New York, NY	2503	\$1050.00	\$345.00	\$700.00	\$685.00	\$210.00	\$425.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dickstein Shapiro	Washington, DC	254	\$1250.00	\$590.00	\$750.00	\$585.00	\$310.00	\$475.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dinsmore & Shohl	Cincinnati, OH	415	\$850.00	\$250.00	\$411.00	\$365.00	\$160.00	\$238.00	\$360.00	\$150.00	\$615.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	DLA Piper	New York, NY	3962	\$1025.00	\$450.00	\$765.00	\$750.00	\$250.00	\$510.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Dorsey & Whitney	Minneapolis, MN	501	\$585.00	\$340.00	\$435.00	\$510.00	\$215.00	\$315.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Duane Morris	Philadelphia, PA	613	\$960.00	\$415.00	\$589.00	\$585.00	\$280.00	\$373.00	\$638.00	\$460.00	\$1015.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Edwards Wildman Palmer	Boston, MA	540	\$765.00	\$210.00	\$535.00	\$415.00	\$245.00	\$325.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Faegre Baker Daniels	Minneapolis, MN	673	\$580.00	\$355.00	\$455.00	\$315.00	\$110.00	\$260.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Foley & Lardner	Milwaukee, WI	844	\$860.00	\$405.00	\$600.00	\$470.00	\$210.00	\$335.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Foley Hoag	Boston, MA	221	\$775.00	\$590.00	\$670.00	\$385.00	\$290.00	\$325.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Fox Rothschild	Philadelphia, PA	531	\$750.00	\$335.00	\$530.00	\$500.00	\$245.00	\$310.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobson	New York, NY	450	\$1100.00	\$930.00	\$1000.00	\$760.00	\$375.00	\$595.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Frost Brown Todd	Cincinnati, OH	414	\$600.00	\$220.00	\$387.00	\$315.00	\$150.00	\$234.00	\$417.00	\$350.00	\$540.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Gardere Wynne Sewell	Dallas, TX	218	\$775.00	\$430.00	\$635.00	\$330.00	\$290.00	\$303.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Gibbons	Newark, NJ	201	\$865.00	\$440.00	\$560.00	\$475.00	\$295.00	\$360.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher	New York, NY	1154	\$1800.00	\$765.00	\$980.00	\$930.00	\$175.00	\$590.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Gordon Rees Scully Mansukhani	San Diego, CA	478	\$475.00	\$375.00	\$420.00	\$325.00	\$285.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Greenberg Traurig	New York, NY	1690	\$955.00	\$535.00	\$763.00	\$570.00	\$325.00	\$470.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Harris Beach	Rochester, NY	198	\$400.00	\$298.00	\$348.00	\$285.00	\$175.00	\$230.00	\$287.50	\$175.00	\$400.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Harter Secrest & Emery	Rochester, NY	132	\$465.00	\$300.00	\$385.00	\$290.00	\$195.00	\$250.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Haynes and Boone	Dallas, TX	483	\$1020.00	\$450.00	\$670.00	\$580.00	\$310.00	\$405.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Hogan Lovells	Washington, DC	2313	\$1000.00	\$705.00	\$835.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Holland & Hart	Denver, CO	423	\$725.00	\$305.00	\$442.00	\$425.00	\$175.00	\$277.00	\$363.00	\$225.00	\$535.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Holland & Knight	Washington, DC	956	\$1085.00	\$355.00	\$625.00	\$595.00	\$210.00	\$340.00	\$575.00	\$420.00	\$910.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Honigman Miller Schwartz and Cohn	Detroit, MI	231	\$560.00	\$290.00	\$390.00	\$225.00	\$205.00	\$220.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Hughes Hubbard & Reed	New York, NY	351	\$995.00	\$725.00	\$890.00	\$675.00	\$365.00	\$555.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Husch Blackwell	St. Louis, MO	539	\$785.00	\$250.00	\$449.00	\$440.00	\$190.00	\$275.00	\$418.00	\$240.00	\$625.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ice Miller	Indianapolis, IN	291	\$530.00	\$335.00	\$450.00	\$305.00	\$245.00	\$270.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Irell & Manella	Los Angeles, CA	166	\$975.00	\$800.00	\$890.00	\$750.00	\$395.00	\$535.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jackson Kelly	Charleston, WV	179	\$535.00	\$270.00	\$345.00	\$315.00	\$200.00	\$243.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jackson Lewis	Los Angeles, CA	724	\$440.00	\$310.00	\$380.00	\$315.00	\$275.00	\$290.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jackson Walker	Dallas, TX	333	\$675.00	\$575.00	\$622.00	\$385.00	\$255.00	\$335.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jeffer, Mangels, Butler & Mitchell	Los Angeles, CA	125	\$875.00	\$560.00	\$690.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jenner & Block	Chicago, IL	434	\$925.00	\$565.00	\$745.00	\$550.00	\$380.00	\$465.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Jones Day	New York, NY	2464	\$975.00	\$445.00	\$745.00	\$775.00	\$205.00	\$435.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Jones Walker	New Orleans, LA	363	\$425.00	\$275.00	\$385.00	\$240.00	\$200.00	\$225.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kasowitz, Benson, Torres & Friedman	New York, NY	372	\$1195.00	\$600.00	\$835.00	\$625.00	\$200.00	\$340.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Katten Muchin Rosenman	Chicago, IL	612	\$745.00	\$500.00	\$615.00	\$595.00	\$340.00	\$455.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kaye Scholer	New York, NY	392	\$1250.00	\$725.00	\$860.00	\$795.00	\$370.00	\$597.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kelley Drye & Warren	New York, NY	293	\$815.00	\$435.00	\$640.00	\$600.00	\$305.00	\$430.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton	Atlanta, GA	561	\$775.00	\$400.00	\$550.00	\$475.00	\$315.00	\$385.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	King & Spalding	Atlanta, GA	874	\$995.00	\$545.00	\$775.00	\$735.00	\$125.00	\$460.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kirkland & Ellis	Chicago, IL	1554	\$995.00	\$590.00	\$825.00	\$715.00	\$235.00	\$540.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Knobbe Martens Olson & Bear	Irvine, CA	260	\$810.00	\$450.00	\$575.00	\$455.00	\$305.00	\$360.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Kramer Levin Naftalis & Frankel	New York, NY	313	\$1100.00	\$745.00	\$921.00	\$815.00	\$515.00	\$675.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Lane Powell	Seattle, WA	170	\$675.00	\$375.00	\$516.00	\$425.00	\$260.00	\$331.00	\$477.00	\$300.00	\$650.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Latham & Watkins	New York, NY	2060	\$1110.00	\$895.00	\$990.00	\$725.00	\$465.00	\$605.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lathrop & Gage	Kansas City, MO	283	\$700.00	\$285.00	\$420.00	\$375.00	\$195.00	\$250.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lewis Roca Rothgerber	Phoenix, AZ	228	\$695.00	\$380.00	\$505.00	\$525.00	\$205.00	\$400.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lindquist & Vennum	Minneapolis, MN	178	\$600.00	\$460.00	\$520.00	\$470.00	\$275.00	\$365.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Littler Mendelson	San Francisco, CA	1002	\$615.00	\$395.00	\$550.00	\$420.00	\$245.00	\$290.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Lowenstein Sandler	Roseland, NJ	261	\$990.00	\$600.00	\$765.00	\$650.00	\$300.00	\$450.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Manatt, Phelps & Phillips	Los Angeles, CA	329	\$795.00	\$640.00	\$740.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McCarter & English	Newark, NJ	371	\$625.00	\$450.00	\$530.00	\$370.00	\$220.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McDermott Will & Emery	Chicago, IL	1021	\$835.00	\$525.00	\$710.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	McElroy, Deutsch, Mulvaney & Carpenter	Morristown, NJ	274	\$560.00	\$325.00	\$445.00	\$335.00	\$200.00	\$295.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McGuireWoods	Richmond, VA	931	\$725.00	\$450.00	\$595.00	\$525.00	\$285.00	\$360.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	McKenna Long & Aldridge	Atlanta, GA	518	\$650.00	\$480.00	\$530.00	\$425.00	\$375.00	\$395.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Michael, Best & Friedrich	Milwaukee, WI	189	\$650.00	\$235.00	\$445.00	\$425.00	\$200.00	\$283.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Miles & Stockbridge	Baltimore, MD	226	\$740.00	\$340.00	\$478.00	\$425.00	\$230.00	\$290.00	\$419.00	\$225.00	\$695.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Moore & Van Allen	Charlotte, NC	274	\$870.00	\$315.00	\$490.00	\$430.00	\$190.00	\$280.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Morgan, Lewis & Bockius	Philadelphia, PA	1363	\$765.00	\$430.00	\$620.00	\$585.00	\$270.00	\$390.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Morris, Manning & Martin	Atlanta, GA	148	\$575.00	\$400.00	\$480.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Morrison & Foerster	San Francisco, CA	1020	\$1195.00	\$595.00	\$865.00	\$725.00	\$230.00	\$525.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Nelson Mullins	Columbia, SC	466	\$800.00	\$250.00	\$444.00	\$395.00	\$215.00	\$271.00	\$376.00	\$195.00	\$600.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Nixon Peabody	Boston, MA	584	\$850.00	\$295.00	\$520.00	\$550.00	\$180.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Norris McLaughlin & Marcus	Bridgewater, NJ	128	\$505.00	\$485.00	\$495.00	\$365.00	\$185.00	\$275.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Norton Rose Fulbright	Houston, TX	3537	\$900.00	\$525.00	\$775.00	\$515.00	\$300.00	\$400.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Nossaman	Los Angeles, CA	148	\$800.00	\$370.00	\$579.00	\$490.00	\$255.00	\$340.00	\$495.00	\$440.00	\$550.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Nutter McClennen & Fish	Boston, MA	146	\$715.00	\$470.00	\$575.00	\$460.00	\$295.00	\$375.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ogletree Deakins	Atlanta, GA	668	\$650.00	\$250.00	\$360.00	\$365.00	\$200.00	\$260.00	\$315.00	\$230.00	\$555.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	O'Melveny & Myers	Los Angeles, CA	721	\$950.00	\$615.00	\$715.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Orrick Herrington & Sutcliffe	New York, NY	954	\$1095.00	\$715.00	\$845.00	\$375.00	\$710.00	\$560.00	\$735.00	\$685.00	\$850.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Parker Poe Adams & Bernstein	Charlotte, NC	185	\$500.00	\$425.00	\$450.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Paul Hastings	New York, NY	889	\$900.00	\$750.00	\$815.00	\$755.00	\$335.00	\$540.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison	New York, NY	854	\$1120.00	\$760.00	\$1040.00	\$735.00	\$595.00	\$678.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Pepper Hamilton	Philadelphia, PA	510	\$950.00	\$465.00	\$645.00	\$525.00	\$280.00	\$390.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Perkins Coie	Seattle, WA	861	\$1000.00	\$330.00	\$615.00	\$610.00	\$215.00	\$425.00	\$635.00	\$280.00	\$800.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman	Washington, DC	591	\$1070.00	\$615.00	\$865.00	\$860.00	\$375.00	\$520.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Polsinelli	Kansas City, MO	616	\$775.00	\$325.00	\$435.00	\$350.00	\$235.00	\$279.00	\$376.00	\$300.00	\$450.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Proskauer Rose	New York, NY	712	\$950.00	\$725.00	\$880.00	\$675.00	\$295.00	\$465.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Quarles & Brady	Milwaukee, WI	422	\$625.00	\$425.00	\$519.00	\$600.00	\$210.00	\$335.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan	New York, NY	673	\$1075.00	\$810.00	\$915.00	\$675.00	\$320.00	\$410.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Reed Smith	Pittsburgh, PA	1555	\$890.00	\$605.00	\$737.00	\$530.00	\$295.00	\$420.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Richards, Layton & Finger	Wilmington, DE	124	\$800.00	\$600.00	\$678.00	\$465.00	\$350.00	\$414.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Riker Danzig Scherer Hyland & Perretti	Morristown, NJ	146	\$495.00	\$430.00	\$455.00	\$295.00	\$210.00	\$250.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Robinson & Cole	Hartford, CT	201	\$700.00	\$295.00	\$500.00	\$445.00	\$215.00	\$300.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Rutan & Tucker	Costa Mesa, CA	147	\$675.00	\$345.00	\$490.00	\$500.00	\$230.00	\$320.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Saul Ewing	Philadelphia, PA	240	\$875.00	\$375.00	\$546.00	\$590.00	\$225.00	\$344.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Schiff Hardin	Chicago, IL	317				\$415.00	\$250.00	\$333.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Sedgwick	San Francisco, CA	342	\$615.00	\$305.00	\$425.00	\$475.00	\$250.00	\$325.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Seward & Kissel	New York, NY	143	\$850.00	\$625.00	\$735.00	\$600.00	\$290.00	\$400.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Seyfarth Shaw	Chicago, IL	779	\$860.00	\$375.00	\$610.00	\$505.00	\$225.00	\$365.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Sheppard Mullin Richter & Hampton	Los Angeles, CA	549	\$875.00	\$490.00	\$685.00	\$535.00	\$275.00	\$415.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Shumaker Loop & Kendrick	Toledo, OH	224	\$595.00	\$305.00	\$413.00	\$330.00	\$160.00	\$256.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Shutts & Bowen	Miami, FL	230	\$660.00	\$250.00	\$430.00	\$345.00	\$195.00	\$260.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom	New York, NY	1664	\$1150.00	\$845.00	\$1035.00	\$845.00	\$340.00	\$620.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Snell & Wilmer	Phoenix, AZ	411	\$845.00	\$325.00	\$525.00	\$470.00	\$180.00	\$280.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Spilman Thomas & Battle	Charleston, WV	131							\$280.00	\$215.00	\$350.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Squire Patton Boggs			\$950.00	\$350.00	\$655.00	\$530.00	\$250.00	\$355.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Location data not available due to merger in 2014. Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox	Washington, DC	122	\$795.00	\$450.00	\$577.00	\$470.00	\$265.00	\$346.00	\$483.57	\$450.00	\$520.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Stevens & Lee	Reading, PA	154	\$800.00	\$525.00	\$625.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Stoel Rives	Portland, OR	365	\$800.00	\$300.00	\$492.00	\$465.00	\$205.00	\$287.00	\$312.00	\$280.00	\$510.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Strasburger & Price	Dallas, TX	217	\$690.00	\$290.00	\$435.00	\$365.00	\$210.00	\$270.00	\$475.00	\$300.00	\$690.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Stroock & Stroock & Lavan	New York, NY	285	\$1125.00	\$675.00	\$960.00	\$840.00	\$350.00	\$549.00	\$979.00	\$745.00	\$1095.00	National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	Taft Stettinius & Hollister	Cincinnati, OH	357	\$535.00	\$285.00	\$415.00	\$475.00	\$200.00	\$285.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Thompson & Knight	Dallas, TX	290	\$740.00	\$425.00	\$535.00	\$610.00	\$240.00	\$370.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Thompson Coburn	St. Louis, MO	317	\$510.00	\$330.00	\$440.00	\$350.00	\$220.00	\$270.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Troutman Sanders	Atlanta, GA	567	\$975.00	\$400.00	\$620.00	\$570.00	\$245.00	\$340.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Ulmer & Berne	Cleveland, OH	178	\$415.00	\$315.00	\$380.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Varnum	Grand Rapids, MI	133	\$465.00	\$290.00	\$390.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Venable	Washington, DC	533	\$1075.00	\$470.00	\$660.00	\$575.00	\$295.00	\$430.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Vinson & Elkins	Houston, TX	650	\$770.00	\$475.00	\$600.00	\$565.00	\$275.00	\$390.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis	Nashville, TN	178	\$600.00	\$350.00	\$460.00	\$335.00	\$190.00	\$245.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Weil, Gotshal & Manges	New York, NY	1157	\$1075.00	\$625.00	\$930.00	\$790.00	\$300.00	\$600.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 NLJ Billing Survey

Copyright © ALM Media Properties, LLC. All rights reserved.

2014	White & Case	New York, NY	1895	\$1050.00	\$700.00	\$875.00	\$1050.00	\$220.00	\$525.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wiley Rein	Washington, DC	277	\$950.00	\$550.00	\$665.00	\$535.00	\$320.00	\$445.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Williams Mullen	Richmond, VA	233	\$410.00	\$360.00	\$385.00	\$350.00	\$260.00	\$295.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Willkie Farr & Gallagher	New York, NY	526	\$1090.00	\$790.00	\$950.00	\$790.00	\$350.00	\$580.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wilmer Cutler Pickering Hale and Dorr	Washington, DC	988	\$1250.00	\$735.00	\$905.00	\$695.00	\$75.00	\$290.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Winston & Strawn	Chicago, IL	822	\$995.00	\$650.00	\$800.00	\$590.00	\$425.00	\$520.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wolff & Samson	West Orange, NJ	125	\$450.00	\$325.00	\$400.00	\$450.00	\$225.00	\$340.00				National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice	Winston-Salem, NC	492	\$640.00	\$470.00	\$554.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report
2014	Wyatt Tarrant & Combs	Louisville, KY	202	\$500.00	\$280.00	\$418.00							National Law Journal, December 2014	Full-time equivalent (FTE) attorneys at the firm and the city of the firm's largest U.S. office as listed in the 2014 NLJ 350 report

2014 Associate Class Billing Survey